

**C. PROPOSED DELETIONS: THE HEALTH RISK LIMITS**  
**TABLE (Minnesota Rules, part 4717.7500)**

Based on MDH's recent review of HRL values for 27 of the contaminants listed in *Minnesota Rules*, part 4717.7500, MDH intends to repeal the outdated guidance promulgated in 1993-1994. Of the 27 contaminants reviewed, updated guidance was promulgated for 15 contaminants in MDH's 2009 rule revision; the 2010 proposed rules include updated HRL values for 8 contaminants; and MDH has issued alternate public health-protective guidance for the remaining 4 contaminants. The specific subparts to be repealed are noted below:

Table 15: Subparts and chemicals to be repealed from Part 4717.7500

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 Acetone</li> <li>• 3a Alachlor</li> <li>• 6a Atrazine</li> <li>• 8 Benzene</li> <li>• 14 Boron</li> <li>• 25 Chloroform</li> <li>• 36 Dichlorodifluoromethane</li> <li>• 39a 1,1-Dichloroethane</li> <li>• 40a 1,2-Dichloroethylene (cis)</li> <li>• 41 1,1-Dichloroethylene (Vinylidene chloride)</li> <li>• 43 Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)</li> <li>• 46 Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP)</li> <li>• 50 Ethylbenzene</li> <li>• 52 Ethyl ether</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 52a Ethylene glycol</li> <li>• 61 Manganese</li> <li>• 65 Metolachlor</li> <li>• 68 Nitrate (as nitrogen)</li> <li>• 70 Pentachlorophenol</li> <li>• 77a Simazine</li> <li>• 78b 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethylene</li> <li>• 79 Toulene</li> <li>• 80a 1,1,1-Trichloroethane</li> <li>• 81a 1,1,2-Trichloroethylene (TCE)</li> <li>• 85 2 (2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxy)propionic acid</li> <li>• 88b Vinyl chloride</li> <li>• 89 Xylenes</li> </ul>
---	---

**IV. REGULATORY ANALYSIS**

This section discusses the regulatory factors and presents information on the performance-based rules, the additional notice plan and the impact of the proposed rules, as required by *Minnesota Statutes*, section 14.131.

**A. REGULATORY FACTORS**

*Minnesota Statutes*, section 14.131, sets out seven factors for regulatory analysis that must be included in the SONAR. This section discusses each of the factors.

**1. CLASSES OF PERSONS PROBABLY AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED RULE, INCLUDING CLASSES THAT WILL BEAR THE COSTS AND CLASSES THAT WILL BENEFIT**

Because these rules address the groundwater Minnesotans rely on for drinking, the proposed amendments could potentially affect all persons living here. Since the application of HRLs falls to the discretion of state agencies charged with protecting